

REMARKS

Claims 1-54, 56-61, 64-69, and 73-84 are pending in this Application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 17-19, 30, 39-40, 49, 61, 64, 68-69, and 77 have been amended. No new matter is added. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter

The Office Action of May 27, 2008, rejects claims 61, 64-66, 69, 73-76, 78, and 84 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Anvekar (U.S. Patent No. 6,603,968) in view of Ala-Luukko (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0050047) in view of Joss (U.S. Patent No. 6,684,073) and further in view of Julin (U.S. Patent No. 6,212,372); rejects claim 79 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Anvekar in view of Ala-Luukko and Joss, and further in view of Niepel (U.S. Patent No. 6,671,523); rejects claim 67 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Anvekar in view of Ala-Luukko, Joss, and Julin, and further in view of Berg (U.S. Patent No. 6,876,860); rejects claim 68 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Anvekar in view of Ala-Luukko, Niepel, and Joss, and further in view of Julin; rejects claims 1-7, 10-22, 25-35, 38-46, 49-54, 56-57, 60, 77, and 80-83 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Anvekar in view of Molne (U.S. Patent No. 6,014,561), Ala-Luukko, and Joss, and further in view of Julin; and rejects claims 8-9, 23-24, 36-37, 47-48, 55, and 58-59 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Anvekar in view of Molne, Ala-Luukko, Joss, and Julin, and further in view of Berg. To the extent that these rejections remain applicable to the claims, as amended, the Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections as follows.

Claim 1, as amended, recites, *inter alia*, “a communication system comprising: a mobile device of a first public mobile network, the mobile device including a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM), the SIM having a single International Mobile Subscriber Identity in the first public mobile network (IMSI-H), wherein the first public mobile network has a Home Location Register (HLR) including a single HLR profile corresponding to the IMSI-H; a first Mobile Subscriber Integrated Service Digital Network (MSISDN) number for use in the first public mobile network, the first MSISDN corresponding to the single HLR profile; a second MSISDN number for use in a second public mobile network belonging to a network operator different from that of the first public mobile network, the second MSISDN corresponding to the single HLR profile . . .” (emphasis added).

Contrary to certain statements made by the Examiner in the Office Action of May 27, 2008, in which the Examiner cited paragraph [0023] and [0036-0043] of Ala-Luukko, the Applicant respectfully submits that Ala-Luukko, in paragraph [0023], when describing the contents of the network subsystem NSS, specifically states that “[s]ubscriber data is permanently or semi-permanently stored into the home location register HLR for each network subscriber so that the subscriber data is connected to the subscriber identifier IMSI. Subscriber data include a telephone number or telephone numbers MSISDN. . . One IMSI may thus include several telephone numbers.” As cited, Ala-Luukko fails to disclose or suggest at least the feature that the subscriber data includes two MSISDNs corresponding to the same, single HLR profile, but for use in two public mobile networks belonging to different network operators, as claimed in amended claim 1. Paragraphs [0036-0043] of Ala-Luukko, as cited, also fail to disclose this particular feature. Accordingly, Ala-Luukko fails to disclose or suggest a communication

system including at least a first MSISDN for use in the first public mobile network, the first MSISDN corresponding to a single HLR profile, and a second MSISDN number for use in a second public mobile network belonging to a network operator *different* from that of the first public mobile network, the second MSISDN corresponding to the single HLR profile, as recited in amended claim 1.

Anvekar, Joss, Julin, Niepel, Berg, and Molne, in combination with Ala-Luukko fail to disclose or suggest at least the above combination of features recited in amended claim 1, and thus, fail to make up for the deficiencies of Ala-Luukko.

For at least the above reasons, the Applicant submits that amended claim 1 is allowable over the cited references. As amended claim 1 is allowable, the Applicant submits that claims 2-16 and 80, which depend from allowable claim 1, are likewise allowable over the cited references.

For similar reasons to those discussed with regard to amended claim 1, the Applicant submits that amended claims 17-19, 30, 39-40, 49, 61, 64, 68-69, and 77 are allowable over the cited references. As amended claims 17-19, 30, 39-40, 49, 61, 64, 68-69, and 77 are allowable over the cited references, the Applicant submits that claims 20-29, 31-38, 41-48, 50-54, 56-60, 65-67, 73-76, 78-79, and 80-84, which depend from allowable claims 17, 19, 30, 40, 49, 64, 69, and 77, respectively, are likewise allowable.

II. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-54, 56-61, 64-69, and 73-84 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicant hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300, referencing Attorney Dkt. No. 028327-00068.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Bogdan A. Zinchenko', is written over a horizontal line.

Bogdan A. Zinchenko
Registration Number 57,473

Customer Number 004372
ARENT FOX LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036-5339
Telephone: 202-857-6000
Fax: 202-638-4810

BAZ/hs